



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/591,069 | 08/30/2006 | Johann Doppstadt | P71396US0 | 5905 |
| 136 | 7590 | 09/17/2010 | EXAMINER | |
| JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC | | | KATCOFF, MATTHEW GORDON | |
| 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 600 | | | 3725 | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE | | | | |
| 09/17/2010 PAPER | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/591,069 | DOPPSTADT ET AL. |
| | Examiner Matthew G. Katcoff | Art Unit 3725 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2010.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 31-39, 43 and 49-62 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 31-33, 38, 39, 49-55 and 57-62 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 34-37, 43 and 56 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 01 July 2010 as part of the RCE filed 01 September 2010 has been considered and is sufficient to overcome the rejections from the previous office actions and is sufficient to place the case in condition for allowance except for claims 35 and 43. Claims 40-42 and 44-48 have been canceled and claims 51-52 have been added. Claims 31-39, 43 and 49-62 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 34 recites the limitation "the supporting body" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as claim 31 does not recite the term supporting body.

4. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "the tooth body has shapes which correspond with the knife and/or the tooth body is fastened on... and/or the tooth body is arranged on the comminution cylinder" is unclear. The phrasing of the and/or limitations makes it difficult to determine where the "or" part of the and/or limitations would go. Further it is suggested that the phrase "respectively" is deleted as it is unclear what limitations that term implies.

5. Claims 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear which limitations after the "and/or" are in fact part of the alternative limitations.

6. Claim 37 recites the limitation "and/or that the fastening means" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as claims 31 does not recite a fastening means.

7. Claim 43 recites the limitations "the recess surfaces "and/or two faces" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as claim 31 does not claim recess surfaces or two faces.

8. Claim 56 recites the limitation "the radius" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as no radius is claimed in claim 52.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 31-33, 38-39 and 49-55 and 57-62 allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Katcoff whose telephone number is (571) 270-1415. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dana Ross/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

/M. G. K./
Examiner, Art Unit 3725
09/15/2010